

SD202: Databases

Advanced SQL and PostgreSQL features

Antoine Amarilli

Télécom Paris

Table inheritance

• You can define a view to represent the result of a complex query:

```
CREATE VIEW Movie_with_actor AS
SELECT DISTINCT Movie.id, title FROM Movie, Actor_in_movie
WHERE Movie.id = Actor_in_movie.movie;
```

- View definition: simply a SELECT query as usual.
- You can then use the view as if it were a regular table

- Logical schema: Employee entity, every employee is either Secretary or Professor
- This is **specialization** (complete and disjoint)
- Physical schema: one Secretary table and one Professor table
- The Employee table is their union, projected on the common attributes
- Instead of storing it, we can define it with a view

What are views good for?

- Logical independence: you can change the definition of the view in an application without changing the rest of the code
- Can be used to restrict access rights (only allow users to see a specific view)
- Can be switched easily to a materialized view for performance

Views can be a "fix" to address **problems with the schema**, or to **redefine the logical schema** from the physical one

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW Movie_with_actor ...

Must then be manually updated with:

REFRESH MATERIALIZED VIEW Movie_with_actor ...

How to make the view refresh automatically? Workaround:

- Make the materialized view a regular table
- Define **triggers** to update it in the right way whenever the underlying tables are changed

Example of maintaining a materialized view

- Logical schema: Employee entity, each employee is Secretary or Professor
- Physical schema: one Secretary table and one Professor table
- The Employee table is their union, projected on the common attributes
- How to reflect updates from Professor and Secretary?
 - When a tuple in **inserted** in either table, **insert** its projection in Professor
 - When a tuple is modified, also modify the projection
 - When a tuple is **deleted**, also **delete** it
 - $\rightarrow\,$ We assume that no tuple in Employee corresponds to two tuples in Professor and Secretary (common key)
 - $\rightarrow~$ Question: can we accept updates to Employee? how to reflect them?
- Other common use case: maintaining an aggregate, e.g., a sum

Stored procedures

You can write custom procedures in PostgreSQL

```
CREATE OR REPLACE PROCEDURE transfer
  (origin INT, destination INT, amount DECIMAL)
LANGUAGE plpgsql
AS $$
BEGIN
SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL SERIALIZABLE:
UPDATE Account SET balance = balance - amount WHERE id = origin;
UPDATE Account SET balance = balance + amount WHERE id = destination:
COMMIT:
END:
```

Also possible to write custom functions, custom aggregation operators...

- For triggers (see later)
- To factor some application logic **in the database** for consistency across applications
- For **performance** (execute code closer to the data)
 - $\cdot\,$ Stored procedures can be written in C



- Procedures can be created as **triggers** to be automatically run whenever data is changed
 - Whenever a **table** is modified
 - For every modified tuple in a table
 - Can be run before or after the operation or instead of the operation
- Possible uses:
 - · Complex consistency check, or normalization/reformatting
 - Recomputing auxiliary tables, automatically creating dependent data
 - Manually updating an aggregate (e.g., a sum)
 - Manually log database operations

Table inheritance

Table inheritance

You can define tables that refine another table (inherit from it)

```
CREATE TABLE Employee (id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY, name VARCHAR, salary INT);
CREATE TABLE Professor (field VARCHAR) INHERITS (Employee);
CREATE TABLE Secretary (building VARCHAR) INHERITS (Employee);
INSERT INTO Employee(name, salary) VALUES ('John', 424);
INSERT INTO Professor(name, salary, field) VALUES ('Patricia', 343, 'CS');
INSERT INTO Secretary(name, salary, building) VALUES ('Simon', 252, 'A');
SELECT * FROM Professor;
SELECT * FROM Secretary;
```

SELECT * FROM Employee;

SELECT * FROM ONLY Employee;

Table inheritance subtleties

- \cdot Tables can inherit from multiple tables
- Deleting a parent table cascades to the tables that inherit from it
- Warning: uniqueness constraints and keys do not take inheritance into account!

```
INSERT INTO Professor(id, name, salary, field) VALUES
    (3, 'Paula', '454', 'CS');
SELECT * FROM Employee;
-- id's are no longer unique!
```

• Warning: inserting in a "parent" table does not work

```
-- This does not work
INSERT INTO Employee(name, salary, field) VALUES
('Priscilla', '4242', 'CS');
```

Table inheritance

SQL guarantees the ACID properties:

- Atomicity: a transaction block is either completely executed or not executed at all
- Consistency: the database always satisfies the integrity constraints
- Isolation: if there are multiple transactions, they happen as if one had taken place before the other
- Durability: one executed, transactions will not be lost

- Default: every query (SELECT, INSERT, etc.) is a transaction
- We can manually define a transaction block with BEGIN ... COMMIT
- Start a transaction with BEGIN, and issue queries
- To **perform** the transaction, use **COMMIT**
- To **abort** the transaction, use **ROLLBACK**
- To define a savepoint, use SAVEPOINT label
- To roll back to a savepoint, use ROLLBACK TO SAVEPOINT label

Exercise: Can you think of a use case for transactions?

To correctly support transactions (one at a time) we must:

- Prepare the effects of the transaction, and atomically commit them
- Make sure the commits are **durable**, even if the hardware fails
- Be able to **revert** the effects of the transaction
- With save points, be able to revert its partial effects

Challenges with concurrent transactions

- Transactions: a sequence of read/write database operations
- These transactions are not **ordered** a priori (e.g., one may arrive while another is running)
- We want to execute them in parallel for performance
- Problems:
 - Two transactions can access the same data item at the same time
 - Even if individual operations do not conflict, the sequence of operations of a transaction may be affected by other transactions
- Strongest ACID guarantees: serializability
 - ightarrow What will happen is **consistent** with a **serial ordering** of the transactions
 - \rightarrow Challenge: Parallelize as much as possible while respecting this

Concurrency

- Satisfying serializability is **complicated** and may cause transactions to:
 - wait for another transaction to complete, possibly deadlock
 - fail if we have started to execute it, but another transaction affected its data
- PostgreSQL supports **several transaction isolation levels** relaxing serializability
- Each level describes which kinds of anomalies may take place
- More restrictive isolation means:
 - worse performance
 - more failures, but
 - less inconsistency problems
- Also supports explicit locking in transactions (in addition to these mechanisms)

Replication and clustering

- Having more than one server has several uses:
 - partition the data if it is large
 - do load balancing to use multiple servers
 - evaluate a query on multiple servers in parallel
 - have failover servers for high availability
- PostgreSQL has some support to propagate changes from a main database to read-only failovers
- PostgreSQL did not focus initially on replication and clustering
- Many third party solutions