Analogical Transfer: a Form of Similarity-Based Inference?

Fadi Badra LIMICS, Paris, France

DIG seminar, March 5, 2018

- Analogical Transfer : definition, examples
- Analogy and the Qualitative Measurement of Similarity
- Analogical Transfer : a Similarity-Based Inference ?
- Some more ideas I would like to share

[Gust et al., 08] Analogy is a cognitive process in which a structural pattern identified in a source conceptualization is transferred to a target domain (possibly the same) in order to learn a target conceptualization.

3 main steps : Retrieval, Mapping, Transfer

[Holyoak and Thagard, 97] Analogy is guided by constraints on <u>similarity</u>, <u>structure</u> (*isomorphism* between some elements of source and target), <u>purpose</u> (the reasoner's goal)

+ consistency (solution viable in the reasoner's model of the world)

+ simplicity [Cornuéjols, 96]

Assumption that if two situations are alike in some respect, they may be alike in others

• Logical characterization [Davis and Russell, 87]

$$\frac{P(s) \quad P(t) \quad Q(s)}{Q(t)} \quad (AJ)$$

- What sufficient condition might justify "Analogical jump" (AJ)?
 - weaker than generalization rule $\forall x, P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$
 - but stronger than single instance induction
 - depends on the amount of similarity between sources and targets
 - functional dependencies are good candidates

Let us take a few examples...

Example 1 : John's Car



Q(s)P(s)P(t)

- s = Bob's car
- t = John's car
- P="being a 1982 Mustang GLX V6 hatchbacks"
- Q="having a price of 3 500 \$"
- One can make the hypothesis that the price of *t* is \approx 3 500 \$.

 R_1 ="differs in profile shape from round to sharp"

 R_2 ="has the same eyebrow as"

Assuming that d' has a sharp profile (i.e., $R_1(d, d')$ holds), one can make the hypothesis that d' has the same eyebrow as d (curved).

Example 3 : Analogical Classification

For
$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$$
,

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}:\mathbf{b}::\mathbf{c}:\mathbf{d}}{\mathit{cls}(\mathbf{a}):\mathit{cls}(\mathbf{b})::\mathit{cls}(\mathbf{c}):\mathit{cls}(\mathbf{d})}$$

rewrites [Bounhas et al., 17]

$$\frac{P_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \quad P_k(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \quad Q_i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})}{Q_i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})}$$

with

•
$$P_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{k})$$
, with $\mathbf{k} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$
• $Q_1(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (cls(\mathbf{a}) = cls(\mathbf{b}))$
• $Q_2(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (cls(\mathbf{a}) = 0 \land cls(\mathbf{b}) = 1)$
• $Q_3(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (cls(\mathbf{a}) = 1 \land cls(\mathbf{b}) = 0)$

$$\frac{x \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad \textit{cls}(x) = \textit{c} \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{N}(x)}{\textit{cls}(x_0) = \textit{c}}$$

- $\mathcal{N}(x)$ = neighborhood of x
- cls(x) = class of x

How to formalize Analogical Transfer?

What should be transferred, and when?

Transfer may require adaptation (not only copy)

Adaptation relies on <u>comparison</u>, an assessement of <u>differences</u> between source and target

Adaptation knowledge include qualitative proportionalities ("All things equal, the more an apartment has rooms, the more expensive it is") or transformation rules ("chocolate can be replaced by cocoa, but then sugar should be added")

What is the role of similarity in Analogical Transfer?

Different ways to measure similarity : geometric, feature-based, alignment-based, transformational [Goldstone, 13] + visual

A Qualitative Measurement of Similarity

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{U}$: a finite, non-empty set, the Universe
- We work on **pairs** (a, b) (or simply *ab*) of the square product $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$
- Ordinal similarity relations [Yao, 00]

Strict Inequality ab > cd "a and b are more similar than c and d" Equality $ab \sim cd \Leftrightarrow \neg (ab > cd) \land \neg (cd > ab)$ "a and b are as similar as c and d"

Non-Strict Inequality

 $ab \geq cd$ iff ab > cd or $ab \sim cd$

A variation υ is a scale on $\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{U}$

- Scale = a map that preserves \geq , *i.e.*, $ab \geq cd \Leftrightarrow v(ab) \geq v(cd)$
- $\bullet~$ Variations are used to discretize $\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{U}$

$$\begin{aligned} & ld: xy \mapsto xy \\ & \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{U}}: xy \mapsto 1 \\ & =: xy \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases} \\ & \mathbf{1}: xy \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

A variation υ is a scale on $\mathcal{U}\times\mathcal{U}$

$$d_{p}: xy \mapsto \|y - x\|_{p}$$

$$X, Y : sets \quad \begin{array}{l} AP : XY \mapsto (X \backslash Y, Y \backslash X) \\ \Delta : XY \mapsto |X \backslash Y| + |Y \backslash X| \end{array}$$

 $v^{arphi}_{f o}(ab) = f o(arphi(a),arphi(b))$ variation between values taken by arphi

- first, apply a feature $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$ (e.g., age, gender)
- then, apply a scale $\mathbf{o}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ on the values of φ

$$v_{=}^{\text{eyebrow}}(ab) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if eyebrow}(a) = \text{eyebrow}(b) \\ 0 & \text{if eyebrow}(a) \neq \text{eyebrow}(b) \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{1}_{P}(ab) = v_{1}^{P}(ab) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if both } P(a) \text{ and } P(b) \text{ hold} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$v_{d_{1}}^{\text{age}}(ab) = |\text{age}(b) - \text{age}(a)|$$

$v^{\varphi}_{\mathbf{0}}(ab) = \mathbf{0}(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))$ variation between values taken by φ

Difference between two vectors

$$\mathbf{v}(a) = (\varphi_1(a), \varphi_2(a), \dots, \varphi_n(a)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{U}$$
$$\overrightarrow{ab} = \upsilon_-^{\mathbf{v}}(ab) = \mathbf{v}(b) - \mathbf{v}(a)$$

Ranking difference (from a given object a)

 $\begin{aligned} \varphi(p) &= \textit{size}(\downarrow ap) \text{ with } \downarrow ap = \{ab \in \{a\} \times \mathcal{U} \mid ap \geq ab\}) \\ \upsilon_{d_1}^{a_{\rightarrow}}(bc) &= |\textit{size}(\downarrow ac) - \textit{size}(\downarrow ab)| \end{aligned}$

Nearest neighbor (b=a)

 $v_{d_1}^{a_{\rightarrow}}(ac) = |size(\downarrow ac) - 1| = k$ iff c is the k-NN of a

Relation to Analogy?

Intuition



Analogical Equalities $v_i(ab) \sim v_i(a'b')$ being in a same contour line

Analogical Inequalities $v_i(ab) \le v_i(cd)$ defines contour scales

Analogical Dissimilarity $ad(ab, cd) = ad(\alpha, \beta)$ distance between contour lines $v(ab) \sim v(a'b') \rightarrow$ "Contour lines" = sets of pairs equivalent for v



 $\upsilon(\textit{ab}) \sim \upsilon(\textit{a'b'}) \rightarrow$ "Contour lines" = sets of pairs equivalent for υ

$$\upsilon_{=}^{\texttt{eyebrow}}(\texttt{aa}') = \upsilon_{=}^{\texttt{eyebrow}}(\texttt{bb}') = 1$$

aa' and bb' both share a commonality : having the same eyebrow. $v_{Id}^{\text{profile}}(aa') = v_{Id}^{\text{profile}}(bb') = (\text{round}, \text{sharp})$ aa' and bb' both share a difference : from round to sharp profile.

 $\upsilon(ab) \sim \upsilon(a'b') \rightarrow$ "Contour lines" = sets of pairs equivalent for υ

$$X, Y : sets \begin{array}{l} AP(XY) = (X \setminus Y, Y \setminus X) \\ AP(XY) = AP(ZT) \Leftrightarrow_{def} X : Y :: Z : T \\ X \text{ is to } Y \text{ what } Z \text{ is to } T. \end{array}$$

 $\upsilon(\textit{ab}) \leq \upsilon(\textit{cd}) \rightarrow$ "Contour scales" = ordering on values of υ



 $\upsilon(\textit{ab}) \leq \upsilon(\textit{cd}) \rightarrow$ "Contour scales" = ordering on values of υ

$$1 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow (\upsilon_{=}^{\texttt{eyebrow}}:1) \geq (\upsilon_{=}^{\texttt{eyebrow}}:0)$$

Two faces having the same eyebrow are more similar than two faces having different eyebrows.

$$n \le m \Leftrightarrow (v_{d_1}^{age}: n) \ge (v_{d_1}^{age}: m)$$

The lower the age difference, the more similar.

 $\ell \subseteq k \Leftrightarrow (AP : \ell) \ge (AP : k)$

All things equal, the less properties are lost or gained when going from a set X to a set Y, the more similar X and Y are.



Dissimilarity between Nearest Neighbors

$$v_{d_1}^{a_{\rightarrow}}(bc) = |size(\downarrow ac) - size(\downarrow ab)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ad}(ab,cd) &= \upsilon_{d_1}^{\upsilon_{d_1}^{a \to \bullet}}(ab,cd) & \text{ for } a,b,c,d \in \mathcal{U} \\ i.e., & \operatorname{ad}(n,m) = |n-m| & \text{ for } n,m \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

AD is a ranking difference.

Dissimilarity between Vectors

$$\mathbf{v}(a) = (\varphi_1(a), \varphi_2(a), \dots, \varphi_n(a)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{U}$$
$$\overrightarrow{ab} = \upsilon_-^{\mathbf{v}}(ab) = \mathbf{v}(b) - \mathbf{v}(a)$$
$$\operatorname{ad}(ab, cd) = \upsilon_{d_p}^{\upsilon_-^{\mathbf{v}}}(ab, cd) = \|\overrightarrow{cd} - \overrightarrow{ab}\|_p$$
i.e.,
$$\operatorname{ad}(\overrightarrow{u}, \overrightarrow{v}) = \|\overrightarrow{v} - \overrightarrow{u}\|_p$$

Consistent with AD defined in [Miclet et al., 2008].

Dissimilarity between Analogical Proportions

$$v_{AP}^{\varphi}$$
 with $AP(XY) = (X \setminus Y, Y \setminus X)$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ad}(ab, cd) &= \upsilon_{\Delta}^{\upsilon_{AP}^{\varphi}}(ab, cd) & (\Delta : \mathrm{symmetric\ difference}) \\ &= |U \backslash W| + |W \backslash U| + |V \backslash Q| + |Q \backslash V| \\ \mathrm{with\ } U &= X \backslash Y, \ V &= Y \backslash X, \ W &= Z \backslash T, \ \mathrm{and\ } Q &= T \backslash Z \\ \mathrm{where\ } X &= \varphi(a), \ Y &= \varphi(b), \ Z &= \varphi(c), \ \mathrm{and\ } T &= \varphi(d) \end{aligned}$

Consistent with AD defined in [Miclet et al., 2008].

Analogical Transfer

Intuition



Co-variation $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j$ inclusion of contour lines

Analogical Transfer $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j, \quad ad(ab, cd) \le k$ $v_i \stackrel{cd}{\frown} v_j$ similarity-based reasoning on contour lines

 $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j \rightarrow \text{inclusion between two contour lines}$

(v_i co-varies with v_j in ab)

 $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j \rightarrow \text{inclusion between two contour lines}$

Bayesian semantics : $[ab]_{v_i} \subseteq [ab]_{v_j}$ inclusion is verified on the whole equivalence class of ab



 $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j \rightarrow$ inclusion between two contour lines

Semi-Bayesian semantics : $\bigcap_{k \in \{i\} \cup D} [ab]_{v_k} \subseteq [ab]_{v_j}$ inclusion is verified around *ab*, but as long as we stay in the same contour line for some v_k 's



 $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j \rightarrow i$ nclusion between two contour lines

Ceteris paribus semantics : $\bigcap_{k \neq j} [ab]_{v_k} \subseteq [ab]_{v_j}$ inclusion is verified *ceteris paribus* around *ab*, as long as we stay in the same contour line for *all other* v_k 's



 $v_i \stackrel{ab}{\frown} v_j \rightarrow \text{inclusion between two contour lines}$

• Local implementation of the similarity principle : $v_i(ab) \sim v_i(cd) \Rightarrow v_j(ab) \sim v_j(cd)$ "Similar problems have similar solutions"

If $\sim_{v_i} = \sim_{v_j} = Id$:

•
$$(v_i : \ell) = \{ab \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \mid v_i(ab) = \ell\}$$

• $(v_i : \ell) \rightharpoonup (v_j : \gamma)$ is a functional dependency

```
Example of Faces
(\upsilon_{ld}^{\text{profile}} : (\text{round}, \text{sharp})) \stackrel{\{aa', bb'\}}{\frown} (\upsilon_{=}^{\text{eyebrow}} : 1)
When the profile changes from round to sharp, the evebrow s
```

When the profile changes from round to sharp, the eyebrow stays the same.



Analogical Classification

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$$
$$\overrightarrow{ab} = \upsilon_{-}^{\mathbf{v}}(ab) = \mathbf{v}(b) - \mathbf{v}(a) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$$
$$\upsilon_{ld}^{cls}(ab) = (cls(a), cls(b))$$

$$v_{-}^{\mathbf{v}} \stackrel{\mathbf{cd}}{\frown} v_{\mathit{ld}}^{\mathit{cls}}$$

"A same difference between two (Boolean) vectors leads to a same difference of class."

```
Co-monotony of partial orders
```

```
(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{\texttt{degree}}_{\leq}:1) \stackrel{\texttt{bw}}{\rightharpoondown} (\boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{\texttt{legal\_age}}_{\leq}:1)
```

The minimum legal age for alcohol consumption increases with the degree of alcohol.

k-Nearest Neighbors

 $(\upsilon_{d_p}^{x_{\rightarrow}}:k) \stackrel{xx_0}{\frown} (\upsilon_{=}^{cls}:1)$

 x_0 and its k-nearest neighbor share the same class.

• Similarity principle taken as a local inference rule

$$\underbrace{\upsilon_i \stackrel{ab}{\rightharpoonup} \upsilon_j, \quad \operatorname{ad}(ab, cd) \leq k}_{\upsilon_i \stackrel{cd}{\frown} \upsilon_j}$$

" If a contour line $[ab]_{\upsilon_i}$ is included in $[ab]_{\upsilon_i}$ at ab , then it should also be included at other points cd that are not too dissimilar"

• When v_i 's are identities :

 $(\upsilon_i : \ell_0) \stackrel{ab}{\rightharpoonup} (\upsilon_j : \gamma), \quad cd \in (\upsilon_i : \ell) \text{ such that } \mathrm{ad}(\ell_0, \ell) \leq k$ $(\upsilon_i : \ell) \stackrel{cd}{\rightharpoonup} (\upsilon_j : \gamma)$ " If a contour line $(\upsilon_i : \ell_0)$ is included in $(\upsilon_j : \gamma)$ at *ab*, then

it should also be included at other points *cd* that are not too dissimilar"



"If we know that d' has a sharp profile, we can make the hypothesis that d' has the same eyebrow as d (curved)."



Analogical Classification

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$$

$$\overrightarrow{ab} = v_-^{\mathbf{v}}(ab) = \mathbf{v}(b) - \mathbf{v}(a) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$$

$$v_{ld}^{cls}(ab) = (cls(a), cls(b))$$

$$\underbrace{(v_-^{\mathbf{v}} : \ell_0) \stackrel{ab}{\rightarrow} (v_{ld}^{cls} : uv), ad(ab, cd) = \|\overrightarrow{cd} - \overrightarrow{ab}\| = \|\overrightarrow{\ell} - \overrightarrow{\ell_0}\| \le k$$

$$\underbrace{(v_-^{\mathbf{v}} : \ell) \stackrel{cd}{\rightarrow} (v_{ld}^{cls} : uv)}_{(\mathbf{v}_{ld}^{\mathbf{v}} : uv)}$$

"The pair (cls(**a**),cls(**b**)) can be transferred from **ab** to **cd** whenever $\|\vec{cd} - \vec{ab}\|$ stays within a range ($\leq k$)."

A fortiori reasoning

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{(\upsilon_{\leq}^{degree}:1) \stackrel{bw}{\rightharpoonup} (\upsilon_{\leq}^{legal_age}:1), \quad cb \in (\upsilon_{\leq}^{degree}:1)}_{(\upsilon_{\leq}^{degree}:1) \stackrel{cb}{\rightharpoondown} (\upsilon_{\leq}^{legal_age}:1) \end{array}$

"If we know that cider (c) is less strong than beer (b), then we can make the hypothesis that the minimum legal age for cider is less than the one for beer"

k-Nearest Neighbors

$$(\upsilon_{d_p}^{x_{\rightarrow}}:0) \stackrel{x_x}{\frown} (\upsilon_{=}^{ols}:1), xx_0 \in (\upsilon_{d_p}^{x_{\rightarrow}}:n) \text{ with } \mathrm{ad}(0,n) \leq k$$

 $(v_{d_p}^{x_{\rightarrow}}:n) \stackrel{xx_0}{\frown} (v_{=}^{cls}:1)$

"The relation "belonging to the same class" is transferred from an element x to an element x_0 whenever x_0 is found to be in the neighborhood of x"

Analogical Transfer : a Form of Similarity-Based Inference?

A Form of Similarity-Based Inference?

• Analogical Transfer :

 $\begin{array}{c} (\upsilon_{i}:\ell_{0}) \stackrel{ab}{\rightharpoonup} (\upsilon_{j}:\gamma), \quad cd \in (\upsilon_{i}:\ell) \text{ such that } \mathrm{ad}(\ell_{0},\ell) \leq k \\ (\upsilon_{i}:\ell) \stackrel{cd}{\rightharpoonup} (\upsilon_{j}:\gamma) \end{array}$

• Similarity-Based Inference :

$$\frac{P \Rightarrow Q \quad P' \approx P \quad P'(t)}{Q(t)}$$

- $P = (v_i : \ell_0), P' = (v_i : \ell) \rightarrow \text{equivalence classes for } v_i$
- $Q = (v_j : \gamma) \rightarrow$ equivalence class for v_j
- $P' \approx P \rightarrow$ analogical dissimilarity within a range $(ad(\ell_0, \ell) \leq k)$

- There is an intimate link between the qualitative measurement of similarity and formal methods of analogy :
 - Mapping = being in the same equivalence class ("contour line") for some similarity relation $\boldsymbol{\upsilon}$
 - Analogical Inequalities = ordering on contour lines
 - Analogical Dissimilarity = distance between two contour lines
- Analogical Transfer can be seen as a similarity-based inference on some equivalence classes of ordinal similarity relations :
 - Co-variation = inclusion of two contour lines (= implementation of the similarity principle)
 - Analogical Transfer = similarity-based reasoning on a co-variation $((v_i : \ell_0) \approx (v_i : \ell) \Leftrightarrow ad(\ell_0, \ell) \le k))$

Some Ideas

Idea 1 : Link with Simplicity Theory?

- [Cornuéjols, 96] "Proximity between source and target is measured by the size of the minimal program that allows to derive target from source"
- Variations need not represent only feature differences, but could represent rewriting rules :

"aabc" \rightarrow "aabd" aa $\xrightarrow{\text{same}}$ aa b $\xrightarrow{\text{same}}$ b c $\xrightarrow{\text{successor}}$ d "ijkk" \rightarrow "ijll" i $\xrightarrow{\text{same}}$ i j $\xrightarrow{\text{same}}$ j kk $\xrightarrow{\text{successor}}$ ll v("aabc", "aabd") = v("ijkk", "ijll") = (same, same, successor) "aabc": "aabd" :: "ijkk": "ijll"

• Use minimum description length as an order on the values of v? $\ell = (same, successor) \ge \ell' = (same, same, successor)$

 \rightarrow best mapping is in contour line $(\upsilon: \ell) = (\upsilon: (\mathtt{same}, \mathtt{successor}))$

Qualitative measurement of similarity can be the link between analogy and topological methods.

- Consider the ternary relation T(a, b, c) ⇔ ab ≥ ac ("b is at least as similar to a as c is")
- [Nehring, 97] A ternary relation *T*(*a*, *b*, *c*) has a unique representation as a convex topology, and the latter is the collection of segments s_{ac} = {b | (a, b, c) ∈ T}
- Use this observation to invoke tools from :
 - topological data analysis → [Giavitto, 96]
 - visualization \rightarrow [Lamy, 18]

Idea 3 : Formalize the Case-Based Inference

a
$$(v_{pb}: \ell)$$

 \uparrow $v_{pb}(t, s) = (v_{pb}: \ell_0)$
b t

- *Retrieval.* $tt \mapsto \mathcal{N}$: find a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(tt)$ of tt that contains a pair st.
- Mapping. ts → (v_{pb} : ℓ₀) : represent the problem differences
 from t to s as a contour line of a variation v_{pb}.
- Transfer. Take the set $S = \operatorname{argmin}_k ab \mapsto \operatorname{ad}(st, ab)$ that contains the *k* pairs that are most similar to the pair *st* with respect to v_{pb} and use the co-variations $(v_{pb} : \ell) \frown (v_{sol} : \gamma)$ at *ab* to decide how to complete the solution

Thank you!