



# Uncertain Data Management

## Relational Probabilistic Database Models

---

**Antoine Amarilli**<sup>1</sup>, Silviu Maniu<sup>2</sup>

December 12th, 2016

<sup>1</sup>Télécom ParisTech

<sup>2</sup>LRI

# Table of contents

Probabilistic instances

TID

BID

pc-tables

Conclusion

# Uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Fix a finite set of **possible tuples** of same arity
- A **possible world**: a subset of the **possible tuples**
- A (finite) **uncertain relation**: set of **possible worlds**

# Uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Fix a finite set of **possible tuples** of same arity
- A **possible world**: a subset of the **possible tuples**
- A (finite) **uncertain relation**: set of **possible worlds**

$U_1$			$U_2$		
date	teacher	room	date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17	04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17	04	Antoine	Co17
04	Antoine	C47	04	Antoine	C47
11	Silviu	Co17	11	Silviu	Co17
11	Silviu	C47	11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	Co17	11	Antoine	Co17

## Probabilistic instances

- **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation

# Probabilistic instances

- **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
- **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ :

# Probabilistic instances

- **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
- **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ :
  - **Function** from  $\mathcal{U}$  to reals in  $[0, 1]$
  - It must **sum up** to 1:  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{U}} \pi(I) = 1$

# Probabilistic instances

- **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
- **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ :
  - **Function** from  $\mathcal{U}$  to reals in  $[0, 1]$
  - It must **sum up** to 1:  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{U}} \pi(I) = 1$

$U_1$			$U_2$		
date	teacher	room	date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17	04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17	04	Antoine	Co17
04	Antoine	C47	04	Antoine	C47
11	Silviu	Co17	11	Silviu	Co17
11	Silviu	C47	11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	Co17	11	Antoine	Co17



# Probabilistic instances

- **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
- **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$ :
  - **Function** from  $\mathcal{U}$  to reals in  $[0, 1]$
  - It must **sum up** to 1:  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{U}} \pi(I) = 1$

$U_1$			$U_2$		
date	teacher	room	date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17	04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17	04	Antoine	Co17
04	Antoine	C47	04	Antoine	C47
11	Silviu	Co17	11	Silviu	Co17
11	Silviu	C47	11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	Co17	11	Antoine	Co17
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$			$\pi(U_2) = 0.2$		

# What about NULLs?

Remember that last time we saw:

- Codd-tables and v-tables and c-tables, with NULLs
- Boolean c-tables, with NULLs only in conditions
  - Boolean variables

# What about NULLs?

Remember that last time we saw:

- **Codd-tables** and **v-tables** and **c-tables**, with **NULLs**
  - **Boolean c-tables**, with **NULLs only in conditions**
    - Boolean variables
- We focus for probabilities on models like **Boolean c-tables**
- Easier to define probabilities on a **finite** space!

# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

$U_1$

04	S.	C017
11	S.	C47

$U_2$

11	A.	C017
----	----	------

# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

$U_1$		
04	S.	C017
11	S.	C47

U

$U_2$		
11	A.	C017

# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

$U_1$			U	$V_1$		
04	S.	Co17				
11	S.	C47				
$U_2$				$V_2$		
11	A.	Co17		11	A.	Co17

# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

$U_1$			$\cup$	$V_1$			$=$
04	S.	Co17					
11	S.	C47					
$U_2$				$V_2$			
11	A.	Co17		11	A.	Co17	



# Relational algebra on uncertain instances

Remember from **last class**:

- Extend relational algebra operators to **uncertain instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** in the supports of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get the **possible outputs**

$U_1$		$V_1$								
04	S.	Co17						04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47						11	S.	C47
$U_2$		$V_2$								
11	A.	Co17						04	S.	Co17
								11	S.	C47
								11	A.	Co17
								11	A.	Co17

# Relational algebra on probabilistic instances

- Let's adapt relational algebra to **probabilistic instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...

# Relational algebra on probabilistic instances

- Let's adapt relational algebra to **probabilistic instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get a **possible output**

# Relational algebra on probabilistic instances

- Let's adapt relational algebra to **probabilistic instances**
- The **possible worlds** of the **result** should be...
  - take all **possible worlds** of the inputs
  - apply the operation and get a **possible output**
- The **probability** of each possible world should be...
  - consider **all input possible worlds** that give it
  - sum up their **probabilities**

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$

04	S.	C017
11	S.	C47

$U_2$

11	A.	C017
----	----	------

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$

04	S.	C017
11	S.	C47

U

$U_2$

11	A.	C017
----	----	------

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$

04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47

$\cup$

$U_2$

11	A.	Co17
----	----	------

$V_1$


$V_2$

11	A.	Co17
----	----	------



## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$		$V_1$
04 S. C017		
11 S. C47		
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\cup$	$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$
$U_2$		$V_2$
11 A. C017		11 A. C017
$\pi(U_2) = 0.2$		$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$
04 S. C017
11 S. C47

  

$U_2$
11 A. C017

  
 $\pi(U_1) = 0.8$   
 $\cup$   

$V_1$
-------

  
 $\pi(V_1) = 0.9$   
 $=$   

$V_2$
11 A. C017

  
 $\pi(V_2) = 0.1$

## Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$		

$\cup$

$U_2$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(U_2) = 0.2$		

$V_1$		
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$		

$=$

$V_2$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$		

$W_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47

# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>U_1</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(U_1) = 0.8</math></td></tr></table>	$U_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$			$\cup$	<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>V_1</math></th></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_1) = 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><th colspan="3"><math>V_2</math></th></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_2) = 0.1</math></td></tr></table>	$V_1$			$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$			$V_2$			11	A.	Co17	$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$			$=$	<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>W_1</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><th colspan="3"><math>W_2</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr></table>	$W_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$W_2$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	11	A.	Co17
$U_1$																																																				
04	S.	Co17																																																		
11	S.	C47																																																		
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$																																																				
$V_1$																																																				
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$																																																				
$V_2$																																																				
11	A.	Co17																																																		
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$																																																				
$W_1$																																																				
04	S.	Co17																																																		
11	S.	C47																																																		
$W_2$																																																				
04	S.	Co17																																																		
11	S.	C47																																																		
11	A.	Co17																																																		

# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>U_1</math></td></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(U_1) = 0.8</math></td></tr></table>	$U_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$			$\cup$	<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>V_1</math></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_1) = 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>V_2</math></td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_2) = 0.1</math></td></tr></table>	$V_1$			$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$			$V_2$			11	A.	Co17	$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$			$=$	<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>W_2</math></td></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>W_3</math></td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr></table>	$W_2$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	11	A.	Co17	$W_3$			11	A.	Co17
$U_1$																																																	
04	S.	Co17																																															
11	S.	C47																																															
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$																																																	
$V_1$																																																	
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$																																																	
$V_2$																																																	
11	A.	Co17																																															
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$																																																	
$W_2$																																																	
04	S.	Co17																																															
11	S.	C47																																															
11	A.	Co17																																															
$W_3$																																																	
11	A.	Co17																																															

# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>U_1</math></td></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(U_1) = 0.8</math></td></tr></table>	$U_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$			$\cup$	<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>V_1</math></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_1) = 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>V_2</math></td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_2) = 0.1</math></td></tr></table>	$V_1$			$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$			$V_2$			11	A.	Co17	$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$			$=$	<table><tr><td colspan="3"><math>W_1</math></td></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>W_2</math></td></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>W_3</math></td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr></table>	$W_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$			$W_2$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	11	A.	Co17	$W_3$			11	A.	Co17
$U_1$																																																													
04	S.	Co17																																																											
11	S.	C47																																																											
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$																																																													
$V_1$																																																													
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$																																																													
$V_2$																																																													
11	A.	Co17																																																											
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$																																																													
$W_1$																																																													
04	S.	Co17																																																											
11	S.	C47																																																											
$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$																																																													
$W_2$																																																													
04	S.	Co17																																																											
11	S.	C47																																																											
11	A.	Co17																																																											
$W_3$																																																													
11	A.	Co17																																																											

# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$		

U

$U_2$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(U_2) = 0.2$		

$V_1$		
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$		

$V_2$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$		

=

$W_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$		

$W_2$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(W_2) = 0.8 \cdot 0.1$		

$W_3$		
11	A.	Co17

# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>U_1</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(U_1) = 0.8</math></td></tr></table>	$U_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$			$\cup$	<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>V_1</math></th></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_1) = 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><th colspan="3"><math>V_2</math></th></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(V_2) = 0.1</math></td></tr></table>	$V_1$			$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$			$V_2$			11	A.	Co17	$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$			$=$	<table><tr><th colspan="3"><math>W_1</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9</math></td></tr><tr><th colspan="3"><math>W_2</math></th></tr><tr><td>04</td><td>S.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>S.</td><td>C47</td></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.1</math></td></tr><tr><th colspan="3"><math>W_3</math></th></tr><tr><td>11</td><td>A.</td><td>Co17</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><math>\pi(W_1) = 0.2 \cdot 0.9</math></td></tr></table>	$W_1$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$			$W_2$			04	S.	Co17	11	S.	C47	11	A.	Co17	$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.1$			$W_3$			11	A.	Co17	$\pi(W_1) = 0.2 \cdot 0.9$		
$U_1$																																																																			
04	S.	Co17																																																																	
11	S.	C47																																																																	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$																																																																			
$V_1$																																																																			
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$																																																																			
$V_2$																																																																			
11	A.	Co17																																																																	
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$																																																																			
$W_1$																																																																			
04	S.	Co17																																																																	
11	S.	C47																																																																	
$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$																																																																			
$W_2$																																																																			
04	S.	Co17																																																																	
11	S.	C47																																																																	
11	A.	Co17																																																																	
$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.1$																																																																			
$W_3$																																																																			
11	A.	Co17																																																																	
$\pi(W_1) = 0.2 \cdot 0.9$																																																																			



# Example of relational algebra on probabilistic instances

$U_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47

  

$U_2$		
11	A.	Co17

  

$V_1$		
$\pi(V_1) = 0.9$		

  

$V_2$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(V_2) = 0.1$		

  

$W_1$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
$\pi(W_1) = 0.8 \cdot 0.9$		

  

$W_2$		
04	S.	Co17
11	S.	C47
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(W_2) = 0.8 \cdot 0.1$		

  

$W_3$		
11	A.	Co17
$\pi(W_3) = 0.2 \cdot 0.9 + 0.2 \cdot 0.1$		

$$\begin{array}{c} U_1 \\ \cup \\ U_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} V_1 \\ \cup \\ V_2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} W_1 \\ \cup \\ W_2 \\ \cup \\ W_3 \end{array}$$

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples  
→ there are  $2^N$  possible instances

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples
  - there are  $2^N$  possible instances
  - there are  $2^{2^N}$  possible uncertain instances

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples
  - there are  $2^N$  possible instances
  - there are  $2^{2^N}$  possible uncertain instances
  - **writing out** an uncertain instance is **exponential**

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples
  - there are  $2^N$  possible instances
  - there are  $2^{2^N}$  possible uncertain instances
  - **writing out** an uncertain instance is **exponential**
- Last time we saw **Boolean c-tables** as a **concise way** to **represent** uncertain instances

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples
  - there are  $2^N$  possible instances
  - there are  $2^{2^N}$  possible uncertain instances
  - **writing out** an uncertain instance is **exponential**
- Last time we saw **Boolean c-tables** as a **concise way** to **represent** uncertain instances
- For **probabilistic instances**:
  - there are **infinitely many** possible instances
  - **writing out** a probabilistic instance is still **exponential**

# Representation system

- Remember that if we have  $N$  possible tuples
  - there are  $2^N$  possible instances
  - there are  $2^{2^N}$  possible uncertain instances
  - **writing out** an uncertain instance is **exponential**
- Last time we saw **Boolean c-tables** as a **concise way** to **represent** uncertain instances
- For **probabilistic instances**:
  - there are **infinitely many** possible instances
  - **writing out** a probabilistic instance is still **exponential**
- How to **represent** probabilistic instances?



# Table of contents

Probabilistic instances

TID

BID

pc-tables

Conclusion

# Tuple-independent databases

- The **simplest** model: tuple-independent databases
- Annotate each **instance fact** with a **probability**

# Tuple-independent databases

- The **simplest** model: tuple-independent databases
- Annotate each **instance fact** with a **probability**

$U$

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17
11	Silviu	Co17

# Tuple-independent databases

- The **simplest** model: tuple-independent databases
- Annotate each **instance fact** with a **probability**

<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

# Tuple-independent databases

- The **simplest** model: tuple-independent databases
- Annotate each **instance fact** with a **probability**

<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

→ Assume **independence** between tuples  
(Silviu and Antoine may teach at the same time)

## Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

*U*

<b>date</b>	<b>teacher</b>	<b>room</b>	
04	Silviu	Co17	<b>0.8</b>
04	Antoine	Co17	<b>0.2</b>
11	Silviu	Co17	<b>1</b>

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

*U*

date	teacher	room
------	---------	------



# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

*U*

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

*U*

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

*U*

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17
11	Silviu	Co17

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

<i>U</i>				<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room		date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8	04	Silviu	Co17	
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2	04	Antoine	Co17	
11	Silviu	Co17	1	11	Silviu	Co17	

What's the **probability** of this outcome?

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

<i>U</i>				<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room		date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8	04	Silviu	Co17	
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2	04	Antoine	Co17	
11	Silviu	Co17	1	11	Silviu	Co17	

What's the **probability** of this outcome?

0.8

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

<i>U</i>				<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room		date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8	04	Silviu	Co17	
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2	04	Antoine	Co17	
11	Silviu	Co17	1	11	Silviu	Co17	

What's the **probability** of this outcome?

$$0.8 \times$$

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

<i>U</i>				<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room		date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8	04	Silviu	Co17	
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2	04	Antoine	Co17	
11	Silviu	Co17	1	11	Silviu	Co17	

What's the **probability** of this outcome?

$$0.8 \times (1 - 0.2)$$

# Semantics of TID

- Each tuple is **kept** or **discarded** with the probability
- Probabilistic choices are **independent** across tuples

<i>U</i>				<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room		date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8	04	Silviu	Co17	
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2	04	Antoine	Co17	
11	Silviu	Co17	1	11	Silviu	Co17	

What's the **probability** of this outcome?

$$0.8 \times (1 - 0.2) \times 1$$



## Getting a probability distribution

The **semantics** of a TID instance is a **probabilistic instance**...

→ the **possible worlds** are the subsets

# Getting a probability distribution

The **semantics** of a TID instance is a **probabilistic instance**...

- the **possible worlds** are the subsets
  - always keeping tuples with **probability 1**

# Getting a probability distribution

The **semantics** of a TID instance is a **probabilistic instance**...

- the **possible worlds** are the subsets
  - always keeping tuples with **probability 1**

Formally, for a TID instance  $I$ , the **probability** of  $J$ :

# Getting a probability distribution

The **semantics** of a TID instance is a **probabilistic instance**...

- the **possible worlds** are the subsets
  - always keeping tuples with **probability 1**

Formally, for a TID instance  $I$ , the **probability** of  $J$ :

- we must have  $J \subseteq I$
- product of  $p_{\mathbf{t}}$  for each tuple  $\mathbf{t}$  kept in  $J$
- product of  $1 - p_{\mathbf{t}}$  for each tuple  $\mathbf{t}$  not kept in  $J$

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **o tuples:** vacuous

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$
- **2 tuples:**  $p_1 p_2 + p_1(1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1)p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$



# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$
- **2 tuples:**  $p_1 p_2 + p_1(1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1)p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$   
→  $p_1(p_2 + (1 - p_2)) + (1 - p_1)(p_2 + (1 - p_2))$

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$
- **2 tuples:**  $p_1 p_2 + p_1(1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1)p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$ 
  - $p_1(p_2 + (1 - p_2)) + (1 - p_1)(p_2 + (1 - p_2))$
  - $p_1 \times 1 + (1 - p_1) \times 1$

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$
- **2 tuples:**  $p_1 p_2 + p_1(1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1)p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$ 
  - $p_1(p_2 + (1 - p_2)) + (1 - p_1)(p_2 + (1 - p_2))$
  - $p_1 \times 1 + (1 - p_1) \times 1$
  - 1

# Is it a probability distribution?

Do the probabilities always **sum to 1**?

- **0 tuples:** vacuous
- **1 tuple:**  $p + (1 - p) = 1$
- **2 tuples:**  $p_1 p_2 + p_1(1 - p_2) + (1 - p_1)p_2 + (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)$ 
  - $p_1(p_2 + (1 - p_2)) + (1 - p_1)(p_2 + (1 - p_2))$
  - $p_1 \times 1 + (1 - p_1) \times 1$
  - 1
- **More tuples:**  $p_1(\dots) + (1 - p_1)(\dots)$  and **induction hypothesis**

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

**Definition (Strong representation system)**

For any query in the language,



# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

## Definition (Strong representation system)

For any query in the language,  
on uncertain instances represented in the framework,

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

## Definition (Strong representation system)

For any query in the language,  
on uncertain instances represented in the framework,  
the uncertain instance obtained by evaluating the query

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

## Definition (Strong representation system)

For any query in the language,  
on uncertain instances represented in the framework,  
the uncertain instance obtained by evaluating the query  
can also be represented in the framework.

# Strong representation system

Remember from **last class**:

**Uncertain instance:** set of possible worlds

**Uncertainty framework:** concise way to represent  
uncertain instances

**Query language:** here, relational algebra

## Definition (Strong representation system)

For any query in the language,  
on uncertain instances represented in the framework,  
the uncertain instance obtained by evaluating the query  
can also be represented in the framework.

→ Are TID instances a **strong representation system**?

# Implementing select

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1

# Implementing select

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1

$\sigma_{\text{teacher}=\text{"Silviu"}}(U)$

date	teacher	room	
------	---------	------	--

# Implementing select

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1

$\sigma_{\text{teacher}=\text{"Silviu"}}(U)$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Silviu	C47	1

# Implementing select

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1

$\sigma_{\text{teacher}=\text{"Silviu"}}(U)$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Silviu	C47	1

→ Is this **correct?** ...



# Implementing select

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1

$\sigma_{\text{teacher}=\text{"Silviu"}}(U)$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Silviu	C47	1

→ Is this **correct**? ... So far, **so good**.

# Implementing project

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date
------

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date
04
11
18

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date	
04	
11	
18	0.9

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date	
04	
11	1
18	0.9

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date	
04	$1 - (1 - 0.2) \cdot (1 - 0.8)$
11	1
18	0.9

# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date	
04	$1 - (1 - 0.2) \cdot (1 - 0.8)$
11	1
18	0.9

→ Is this **correct**? ...



# Implementing project

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
11	Silviu	C47	1
11	Antoine	C47	0.1
18	Silviu	C47	0.9

$\pi_{\text{date}}(U)$

date	
04	$1 - (1 - 0.2) \cdot (1 - 0.8)$
11	1
18	0.9

→ Is this **correct**? ... So far, **so good**.

# Implementing product

*U*

<hr/>			
date	teacher	room	
<hr/>			
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2
<hr/>			

# Implementing product

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

# Implementing product

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause
------	---------	------	-------

# Implementing product

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	leopard
04	Antoine	C47	leopard

# Implementing product

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	$0.8 \times 0.1$
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	$0.2 \times 0.1$

# Implementing product

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	$0.8 \times 0.1$
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	$0.2 \times 0.1$

→ Is this **correct**?

# Implementing product ... **OR NOT!**

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

*U* × Repair

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	0.8 × 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	0.2 × 0.1

→ Is this **correct**?

→ It's **WRONG!**



# Why is it wrong?

*U*

<hr/>			
date	teacher	room	
<hr/>			
04	Silviu	C47	1
04	Antoine	C47	1
<hr/>			

## Why is it wrong?

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	1
04	Antoine	C47	1

*Repair*

room	cause	
C47	leopard	1/2

## Why is it wrong?

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	1
04	Antoine	C47	1

Repair

room	cause	
C47	leopard	1/2

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	leopard
04	Antoine	C47	leopard

## Why is it wrong?

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	1
04	Antoine	C47	1

Repair

room	cause	
C47	leopard	1/2

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

## Why is it wrong?

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	1
04	Antoine	C47	1

Repair

room	cause	
C47	leopard	1/2

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

→ The two tuples are **not independent!**

→ The first is there **iff** the second is there.

# Why does it matter?

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

# Why does it matter?

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

**room**

C47

# Why does it matter?

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

room
C47 $1 - (1 - 1/2) \times (1 - 1/2)$



# Why does it matter?

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

room	
C47	$1 - (1 - 1/2) \times (1 - 1/2)$

→ Probability of 3/4...

# Why does it matter?

$U \times \text{Repair}$

date	teacher	room	cause	
04	Silviu	C47	leopard	1/2
04	Antoine	C47	leopard	1/2

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

room	
C47	$1 - (1 - 1/2) \times (1 - 1/2)$

→ Probability of 3/4...

→ But the leopard had probability 1/2!

# TID are not a strong representation system

- Remember how **Codd tables** required **named nulls**?
  - The result of a query on TID may **not** be a TID
- We will see that the correlations can be **complex**

# TID are not a strong representation system

- Remember how **Codd tables** required **named nulls**?
- The result of a query on TID may **not** be a TID
- We will see that the correlations can be **complex**
- How to **evaluate** queries on a TID then?
- List all **possible worlds** and count the probabilities

## Query evalation done right

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

## Query evalation done right

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause	
C47	leopard	0.1

# Query evaluation done right

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

room

C47

# Query evaluation done right

*U*

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair

room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$

**room**

C47     ???



## Query evalation done right

<i>U</i>			
date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C47	0.8
04	Antoine	C47	0.2

Repair	
room	cause
C47	leopard 0.1

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	???

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...

# Query evaluation done right

$U$				Repair	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	???

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...

# Query evaluation done right

$U$				Repair	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	???

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...
  - **Non-empty result:**

# Query evaluation done right

$U$				Repair	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	???

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...
  - **Non-empty result:**  $1 - (1 - 0.8)(1 - 0.2)$

# Query evaluation done right

<i>U</i>				<i>Repair</i>	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	???

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...
  - **Non-empty result:**  $1 - (1 - 0.8)(1 - 0.2) = 0.84$

# Query evaluation done right

$U$				Repair	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$
room
C47

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...
  - **Non-empty result:**  $1 - (1 - 0.8)(1 - 0.2) = 0.84$
- The **query probability** is:

# Query evaluation done right

<i>U</i>				<i>Repair</i>	
date	teacher	room		room	cause
04	Silviu	C47	0.8	C47	leopard 0.1
04	Antoine	C47	0.2		

$\pi_{\text{room}}(U \times \text{Repair})$	
room	
C47	0.084

- **Either** there is no leopard and then no result...
- **Or** there is a leopard and then...
  - **Non-empty result:**  $1 - (1 - 0.8)(1 - 0.2) = 0.84$
- The **query probability** is:  $0.1 \times 0.84$

## Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?



## Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$

---

**teacher**

---

Silviu

---

$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$
teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

$U$
teacher
Antoine
Silviu

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

$U$
teacher
Antoine 0.1
Silviu

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

$U$
teacher
Antoine 0.1
Silviu 0.8

# Expressiveness of TID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with TID?

*“The class is taught by Antoine or Silviu or no one but **not both**”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

$U$
teacher
Antoine 0.1
Silviu 0.8

→ We **cannot** forbid that both teach the class!



# Table of contents

Probabilistic instances

TID

BID

pc-tables

Conclusion

## Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

# Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17
Jan	11	Silviu	C47
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17

## Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

***U***

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17
Jan	11	Silviu	C47
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17

- The **blocks** are the sets of tuples with the same key

# Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17
Jan	11	Silviu	C47
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17

- The **blocks** are the sets of tuples with the same key
- Each **tuple** has a probability

# Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

- The **blocks** are the sets of tuples with the same key
- Each **tuple** has a probability

# Block-independent disjoint instances

- A **more expressive framework** than TID
- Call some attributes the **key** (underlined)

<i>U</i>				
<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

- The **blocks** are the sets of tuples with the same key
- Each **tuple** has a probability
- Probabilities must **sum** to  $\leq 1$  in each **block**

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1



# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	C017	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	C017	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	C017	0.1

- For each **block**:

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	C017	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	C017	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	C017	0.1

- For each **block**:
  - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	C017	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	C017	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	C017	0.1

- For each **block**:
  - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities
  - Possibly **no** tuple if probabilities are  $< 1$

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

- For each **block**:
    - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities
    - Possibly **no** tuple if probabilities are  $< 1$
- Do choices **independently** in each block

# BID semantics

<i>U</i>				
<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

<i>U</i>			
<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room

- For each **block**:
  - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities
  - Possibly **no** tuple if probabilities are  $< 1$

→ Do choices **independently** in each block

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17

- For each **block**:
  - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities
  - Possibly **no** tuple if probabilities are  $< 1$

→ Do choices **independently** in each block

# BID semantics

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	0.8
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

*U*

<u>mon</u>	<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	
Jan	11	Silviu	C47	
Jan	11	Antoine	Co17	

- For each **block**:
  - Pick **one** tuple according to probabilities
  - Possibly **no** tuple if probabilities are  $< 1$

→ Do choices **independently** in each block

## BID captures TID

- Each **TID** can be expressed as a BID...



## BID captures TID

- Each **TID** can be expressed as a BID...
  - Take all attributes as **key**
  - Each block contains a **single tuple**

# BID captures TID

- Each **TID** can be expressed as a BID...
  - Take all attributes as **key**
  - Each block contains a **single tuple**

*U*

<u>date</u>	<u>teacher</u>	<u>room</u>	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.8
04	Antoine	Co17	0.2
11	Silviu	Co17	1

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

## Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$
<b>teacher</b>
Silviu
Fabian
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$	$U_2$
teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine
Fabian	Fabian
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
teacher	teacher	teacher
Silviu	Antoine	Antoine
Fabian	Fabian	Silviu
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>
Silviu	Antoine	Antoine
Fabian	Fabian	Silviu
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

→ If **teacher** is a key **teacher**, then **TID**



# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>
Silviu	Antoine	Antoine
Fabian	Fabian	Silviu
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

- If **teacher** is a key **teacher**, then **TID**
- If **teacher** is not a key, then **only one tuple**

# Expressiveness of BID

Can we represent **all** probabilistic instances with BID?

*“The class is taught by exactly two among Antoine, Silviu, Fabian.”*

$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$
<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>	<b>teacher</b>
Silviu	Antoine	Antoine
Fabian	Fabian	Silviu
$\pi(U_1) = 0.8$	$\pi(U_2) = 0.1$	$\pi(U_3) = 0.1$

- If **teacher** is a key **teacher**, then **TID**
- If **teacher** is not a key, then **only one tuple**
- We **cannot represent** this probabilistic instance as a BID

# Table of contents

Probabilistic instances

TID

BID

pc-tables

Conclusion

# Boolean c-tables

Remember **Boolean c-tables**:

- Set of **Boolean variables**  $x_1, x_2, \dots$
- Each **tuple** has a **condition**: Variables, Boolean operators

# Boolean c-tables

Remember **Boolean c-tables**:

- Set of **Boolean variables**  $x_1, x_2, \dots$
- Each **tuple** has a **condition**: Variables, Boolean operators

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

$x_1$  Silviu is sick

$x_2$  Projector in C017 is working

# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table  
plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$   
indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations
- The *probability* of valuation  $\nu$  is:



# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations
- The *probability* of valuation  $\nu$  is:
  - Product of the  $p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 1$

# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations
- The *probability* of valuation  $\nu$  is:
  - Product of the  $p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 1$
  - Product of the  $1 - p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 0$

# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations
- The *probability* of valuation  $\nu$  is:
  - Product of the  $p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 1$
  - Product of the  $1 - p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 0$
  - Sounds *familiar*?

# pc-tables

A (Boolean) *pc-table* is a Boolean c-table plus a *probability*  $p_i$  for each  $x_i$  indicating the *independent probability* that  $x_i$  is true.

Formally:

- A Boolean *valuation*  $\nu$  of the  $x_i$  maps each to 0 or 1
  - *Possible world* of the Boolean c-instance under  $\nu$
  - The *possible worlds* are the worlds over all valuations
- The *probability* of valuation  $\nu$  is:
  - Product of the  $p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 1$
  - Product of the  $1 - p_i$  for the  $x_i$  with  $\nu(x_i) = 0$
  - Sounds *familiar*?
    - Yeah, it's like *TID instances*!

## pc-table example

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

## pc-table example

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

$x_1$  Silviu is sick

$x_2$  Projector in C017 is working

## pc-table example

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

$x_1$  Silviu is sick

→ **Probability** 0.1

$x_2$  Projector in C017 is working

→ **Probability** 0.2

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$



## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
- **Probability** of  $\nu$ :

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
- **Probability** of  $\nu$ :  $(1 - 0.1) \times 0.2 = 0.18$

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
- **Probability** of  $\nu$ :  $(1 - 0.1) \times 0.2 = 0.18$
- Evaluate the **conditions**

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	C42
04	Antoine	C42
11	Silviu	C017
11	Antoine	C017
11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	C47

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
- **Probability** of  $\nu$ :  $(1 - 0.1) \times 0.2 = 0.18$
- Evaluate the **conditions**

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	C42
04	Antoine	C42
11	Silviu	C017
11	Antoine	C017
11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	C47

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
  - **Probability** of  $\nu$ :  $(1 - 0.1) \times 0.2 = 0.18$
  - Evaluate the **conditions**
- Probability of possible world: **sum** over the valuations

## pc-table semantics example

date	teacher	room	$x_1 : 0.1, x_2 : 0.2$
04	Silviu	C42	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	C42	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C017	$x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C017	$x_2 \wedge x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge \neg x_1$
11	Antoine	C47	$\neg x_2 \wedge x_1$

date	teacher	room
04	Silviu	C42
04	Antoine	C42
11	Silviu	C017
11	Antoine	C017
11	Silviu	C47
11	Antoine	C47

- Take  $\nu$  mapping  $x_1$  to 0 and  $x_2$  to 1
  - **Probability** of  $\nu$ :  $(1 - 0.1) \times 0.2 = 0.18$
  - Evaluate the **conditions**
- Probability of possible world: **sum** over the valuations
- Here: **only** this valuation, 0.18

## pc-tables capture TID

Give each tuple its **own** variable:

*U*

<b>date</b>	<b>teacher</b>	<b>room</b>
04	Silviu	Co17
04	Antoine	Co17
11	Silviu	Co17



## pc-tables capture TID

Give each tuple its **own** variable:

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	$x_1$
04	Antoine	Co17	$x_2$
11	Silviu	Co17	$x_3$

## pc-tables capture TID

Give each tuple its **own** variable:

$U$

date	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	$x_1$
04	Antoine	Co17	$x_2$
11	Silviu	Co17	$x_3$

→ Give each **variable** the **probability** of the tuple

## pc-tables capture mutually exclusive

- Remember **non-Boolean** c-tables

# pc-tables capture mutually exclusive

- Remember **non-Boolean** c-tables

*U*

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$x = 1$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$x = 2$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x = 3$

# pc-tables capture mutually exclusive

- Remember **non-Boolean** c-tables

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$x = 1$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$x = 2$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x = 3$

- Give a **probability** to each value of  $x$ , summing up to 1

# pc-tables capture mutually exclusive

- Remember **non-Boolean** c-tables

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	CO17	$x = 1$
Jan	04	Antoine	CO17	$x = 2$
Jan	04	Fabian	CO17	$x = 3$

- Give a **probability** to each value of  $x$ , summing up to 1
  - **Example:**  $x$  has probability:
    - 0.8 to be 1
    - 0.1 to be 2
    - 0.1 to be 3

# pc-tables capture mutually exclusive

- Remember **non-Boolean** c-tables

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	CO17	$x = 1$
Jan	04	Antoine	CO17	$x = 2$
Jan	04	Fabian	CO17	$x = 3$

- Give a **probability** to each value of  $x$ , summing up to 1  
→ **Example:**  $x$  has probability:
  - 0.8 to be 1
  - 0.1 to be 2
  - 0.1 to be 3
- Remember our **rewriting** from non-Boolean to Boolean...

## Reminder: rewriting non-Boolean to Boolean

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	CO17	$x = 00$
Jan	04	Antoine	CO17	$x = 01$
Jan	04	Fabian	CO17	$x = 10$



## Reminder: rewriting non-Boolean to Boolean

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$x = 00$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$x = 01$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x = 10$

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x_1 \wedge \neg x_2$

## Reminder: rewriting non-Boolean to Boolean

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$x = 00$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$x = 01$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x = 10$

$U$

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x_1 \wedge \neg x_2$

→ How to choose the **probabilities**?

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0
- See the rewriting as a **decision tree**:  
**Either** the first bit is 0 **or** it is 1:
  - if the first bit is 0, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
  - if the first bit is 1, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0
- See the rewriting as a **decision tree**:  
**Either** the first bit is 0 **or** it is 1:
  - if the first bit is 0, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
  - if the first bit is 1, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
- Use variable  $x_1$  for the **first** choice, proba 0.1
  - If  $x_1 = 0$  use variable  $x_2$  for the **second** choice, proba...

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0
- See the rewriting as a **decision tree**:  
**Either** the first bit is 0 **or** it is 1:
  - if the first bit is 0, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
  - if the first bit is 1, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
- Use variable  $x_1$  for the **first** choice, proba 0.1
  - If  $x_1 = 0$  use variable  $x_2$  for the **second** choice, proba... 1/9

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0
- See the rewriting as a **decision tree**:  
**Either** the first bit is 0 **or** it is 1:
  - if the first bit is 0, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
  - if the first bit is 1, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
- Use variable  $x_1$  for the **first** choice, proba 0.1
  - If  $x_1 = 0$  use variable  $x_2$  for the **second** choice, proba... 1/9
  - If  $x_1 = 1$  use variable  $x'_2$  for the **second** choice, proba...

# Choosing the probabilities

- We start with the **probabilities**:
  - $x = 00$  has probability 0.8
  - $x = 01$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 10$  has probability 0.1
  - $x = 11$  has probability 0
- See the rewriting as a **decision tree**:  
**Either** the first bit is 0 **or** it is 1:
  - if the first bit is 0, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
  - if the first bit is 1, then **either** the second is 0 or it is 1
- Use variable  $x_1$  for the **first** choice, proba 0.1
  - If  $x_1 = 0$  use variable  $x_2$  for the **second** choice, proba... 1/9
  - If  $x_1 = 1$  use variable  $x'_2$  for the **second** choice, proba... 0



## Converting mutually exclusive to pc-tables

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	CO17	$x = 00$
Jan	04	Antoine	CO17	$x = 01$
Jan	04	Fabian	CO17	$x = 10$

- **Probabilities:**  $x$  has proba 0.8 to be 1, 0.1 to be 2, 0.1 to be 3
- **Rewriting:**

## Converting mutually exclusive to pc-tables

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$x = 00$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$x = 01$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x = 10$

- **Probabilities:**  $x$  has proba 0.8 to be 1, 0.1 to be 2, 0.1 to be 3

→ **Rewriting:**

mon	day	teacher	room	
Jan	04	Silviu	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2$
Jan	04	Antoine	Co17	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
Jan	04	Fabian	Co17	$x_1 \wedge \neg x_2'$

→  $x_1$  has proba 1/9,  $x_2$  has proba 1/2,  $x_2'$  has proba 0

## Capturing BID with pc-tables

- This process **generalizes**: create **decision trees**

## Capturing BID with pc-tables

- This process **generalizes**: create **decision trees**
- We can capture **BID** by doing this in each block

# Capturing BID with pc-tables

- This process **generalizes**: create **decision trees**
- We can capture **BID** by doing this in each block

<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
11	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

# Capturing BID with pc-tables

- This process **generalizes**: create **decision trees**
- We can capture **BID** by doing this in each block

<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
11	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	Co17	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg y_1 \wedge \neg y_2$
11	Antoine	Co17	$\neg y_1 \wedge y_2$

# Capturing BID with pc-tables

- This process **generalizes**: create **decision trees**
- We can capture **BID** by doing this in each block

<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	0.9
04	Antoine	Co17	0.1
11	Silviu	C47	0.8
11	Antoine	Co17	0.1

<u>day</u>	teacher	room	
04	Silviu	Co17	$\neg x_1$
04	Antoine	Co17	$x_1$
11	Silviu	C47	$\neg y_1 \wedge \neg y_2$
11	Antoine	Co17	$\neg y_1 \wedge y_2$

$x_1$  has probability 0.1

$y_1$  has probability 0.1

$y_2$  has probability 1/9

# Strong representation system

- Remember from last class:  
Boolean c-tables are a strong representation system
  - ... because c-tables are



# Strong representation system

- Remember from last class:  
**Boolean c-tables** are a **strong representation system**
  - ... because **c-tables** are
- Further, each **valuation** of the output  
is the output for the same **valuation** of the inputs
  - assuming that **variables** in the input relations are different
  - this **preserves probabilities**

# Strong representation system

- Remember from last class:  
**Boolean c-tables** are a **strong representation system**
    - ... because **c-tables** are
  - Further, each **valuation** of the output  
is the output for the same **valuation** of the inputs
    - assuming that **variables** in the input relations are different
    - this **preserves probabilities**
- pc-tables are a **strong representation system**

# Capturing all probabilistic instances

- Remember:
  - **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
    - Here, set of subsets of a **finite** set of tuples
  - **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$

# Capturing all probabilistic instances

- Remember:
  - **Support**  $\mathcal{U}$ : uncertain relation
    - Here, set of subsets of a **finite** set of tuples
  - **Probability distribution**  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{U}$

→ Can **any** probabilistic instance be **represented** by a pc-table?

# Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (1)

Remember from **last time**:

- Number the **possible worlds** in binary
- For each **tuple**, write the **possible worlds** where it appears

# Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (1)

Remember from **last time**:

- Number the **possible worlds** in binary
- For each **tuple**, write the **possible worlds** where it appears

00		01		10		11	
<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>
a	d	a	d	a	d	a	d
b	e	b	e	b	e	b	e
c	f	c	f	c	f	c	f

# Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (1)

Remember from **last time**:

- Number the **possible worlds** in binary
- For each **tuple**, write the **possible worlds** where it appears

00		01		10		11	
<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>
a	d	a	d	a	d	a	d
b	e	b	e	b	e	b	e
c	f	c	f	c	f	c	f

---

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

# Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (1)

Remember from **last time**:

- Number the **possible worlds** in binary
- For each **tuple**, write the **possible worlds** where it appears

00		01		10		11	
<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>
a	d	a	d	a	d	a	d
b	e	b	e	b	e	b	e
c	f	c	f	c	f	c	f

---

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

→ We can **also** do this with pc-tables



## Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (2)

Remember: the **second step** was to **reduce** to binary:

<b>v</b>		<b>w</b>
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

## Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (2)

Remember: the **second step** was to **reduce** to binary:

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$
b	e	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
c	f	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$

## Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (2)

Remember: the **second step** was to **reduce** to binary:

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$
b	e	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
c	f	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$

- For pc-instances, how to **choose the probabilities?**

## Capturing uncertain instances with Boolean c-tables (2)

Remember: the **second step** was to **reduce** to binary:

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$x = 00 \vee x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$
b	e	$x = 01$
c	f	$x = 01 \vee x = 10 \vee x = 11$

<b>v</b>	<b>w</b>	
a	d	$\neg x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$
b	e	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2$
c	f	$\neg x_1 \wedge x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_1 \wedge x_2$

- For pc-instances, how to **choose the probabilities**?
- We have **seen this**: this is encoding a **mutually exclusive** choice

# Table of contents

Probabilistic instances

TID

BID

pc-tables

Conclusion

# Summary

We have seen **relational** formalisms for **probabilistic** instances:

- TID, a simple model with **independent probabilities** on tuples
  - BID, adding **blocks** with mutually exclusive choices
  - pc-tables, i.e., **Boolean c-tables** with probabilities on variables
- pc-tables can capture **any** probabilistic instance

# Summary

We have seen **relational** formalisms for **probabilistic** instances:

- TID, a simple model with **independent probabilities** on tuples
  - BID, adding **blocks** with mutually exclusive choices
  - pc-tables, i.e., **Boolean c-tables** with probabilities on variables
- pc-tables can capture **any** probabilistic instance
- 
- In the next class: how to evaluate **queries** efficiently
  - Let's see a few **advanced topics**

# Conditioning

- With probabilities, **conditioning** is a common operation
  - *What is the probability that it rains **given that** the grass is wet?*



# Conditioning

- With probabilities, **conditioning** is a common operation
  - *What is the probability that it rains **given that** the grass is wet?*
- **Conditioning** a pc-table (the **distribution** on possible worlds):
  - **Easy** to condition by  $x_1 = 1$
  - **Hard** to condition on “this tuple is there”

# Conditioning

- With probabilities, **conditioning** is a common operation
  - *What is the probability that it rains **given that** the grass is wet?*
- **Conditioning** a pc-table (the **distribution** on possible worlds):
  - **Easy** to condition by  $x_1 = 1$
  - **Hard** to condition on “this tuple is there”
- Idea: pc-table with **global condition**

# Conditioning

- With probabilities, **conditioning** is a common operation
  - *What is the probability that it rains **given that** the grass is wet?*
- **Conditioning** a pc-table (the **distribution** on possible worlds):
  - **Easy** to condition by  $x_1 = 1$
  - **Hard** to condition on “this tuple is there”
- Idea: pc-table with **global condition**

$x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3$	
teacher	
Antoine	$x_1$
Fabian	$x_2$
Silviu	$x_3$

# Conditioning

- With probabilities, **conditioning** is a common operation
  - *What is the probability that it rains **given that** the grass is wet?*
- **Conditioning** a pc-table (the **distribution** on possible worlds):
  - **Easy** to condition by  $x_1 = 1$
  - **Hard** to condition on “this tuple is there”
- Idea: pc-table with **global condition**

$x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3$	
teacher	
Antoine	$x_1$
Fabian	$x_2$
Silviu	$x_3$

- **Semantics**: ignore valuations that violate the global condition
- Easier to **add things** to the global condition

## Other models

- We will see **non-relational** models of uncertainty
- There are also other **relational** models

# Other models

- We will see **non-relational** models of uncertainty
- There are also other **relational** models
- **Possibilistic databases:**
  - Do not consider the **probability** that a fact is true but the **degree of surprise** caused by a fact
  - The **possibility** of a world is its **highest** degree of surprise
  - Also, **fuzzy databases:** facts can be any intermediate value between true (1) and false (0)

## Other models

- We will see **non-relational** models of uncertainty
- There are also other **relational** models
- **Possibilistic databases:**
  - Do not consider the **probability** that a fact is true but the **degree of surprise** caused by a fact
  - The **possibility** of a world is its **highest** degree of surprise
  - Also, **fuzzy databases**: facts can be any intermediate value between true (1) and false (0)
- **Continuous distributions**: impose conditions like “this value follows a normal distribution”
  - Usually **intractable** to reason with
  - **MCDs**: Monte Carlo DataBases: use **sampling**

## Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances



# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**

# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**
  - Choose the **world** and join with the table of the **worlds**

# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**
  - Choose the **world** and join with the table of the **worlds**
- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **relational algebra** query on a **TID instance**

# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**
  - Choose the **world** and join with the table of the **worlds**
- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **relational algebra** query on a **TID instance**
  - Code **mutually exclusive** with TID + RA (“largest value”)

# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**
  - Choose the **world** and join with the table of the **worlds**
- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **relational algebra** query on a **TID instance**
  - Code **mutually exclusive** with TID + RA (“largest value”)
- **TID instances** plus **UCQ** do not **suffice**

# Views and normalization

**Idea:** obtain complex probabilistic instances as the result of evaluating a **query** (or **view**) on **simple** instances

- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **CQ** on a (single-block) **BID instance**
  - Choose the **world** and join with the table of the **worlds**
- **Any** probabilistic relation can be obtained with a **relational algebra** query on a **TID instance**
  - Code **mutually exclusive** with TID + RA (“largest value”)
- **TID instances** plus **UCQ** do not **suffice**
  - Always a **maximal world** for inclusion

# References I

 Abiteboul, S., Hull, R., and Vianu, V. (1995).

***Foundations of Databases.***

Addison-Wesley.

<http://webdam.inria.fr/Alice/pdfs/all.pdf>.

 Barbará, D., Garcia-Molina, H., and Porter, D. (1992).

**The management of probabilistic data.**

*IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 4(5).

[http:](http://www.iai.uni-bonn.de/III/lehre/AG/IntelligenteDatenbanken/Seminar/SS05/Literatur/%5BBGP92%5DProbData_IEEE_TKDE.pdf)

[//www.iai.uni-bonn.de/III/lehre/AG/IntelligenteDatenbanken/  
Seminar/SS05/Literatur/%5BBGP92%5DProbData\\_IEEE\\_TKDE.pdf](http://www.iai.uni-bonn.de/III/lehre/AG/IntelligenteDatenbanken/Seminar/SS05/Literatur/%5BBGP92%5DProbData_IEEE_TKDE.pdf).

## References II



Dalvi, N. N. and Suciu, D. (2007).

**Efficient query evaluation on probabilistic databases.**

*VLDB Journal*.

<http://www.vldb.org/conf/2004/RS22P1.PDF>.



Green, T. J. and Tannen, V. (2006).

**Models for incomplete and probabilistic information.**

*IEEE Data Eng. Bull.*

<http://sites.computer.org/debull/A06mar/green.ps>.



Huang, J., Antova, L., Koch, C., and Olteanu, D. (2009).

**MayBMS: a probabilistic database management system.**

In *SIGMOD*.

<https://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/dan.olteanu/papers/hako-sigmod09.pdf>.



## References III



Lakshmanan, L. V. S., Leone, N., Ross, R. B., and Subrahmanian, V. S. (1997).

**ProbView: A flexible probabilistic database system.**

*ACM Transactions on Database Systems.*

<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.53.293&rep=rep1&type=pdf>.



Ré, C. and Suciu, D. (2007).

**Materialized views in probabilistic databases: for information exchange and query optimization.**

In *VLDB*.

[http://www.cs.stanford.edu/people/chrisrmre/papers/prob\\_materialized\\_views\\_TR.pdf](http://www.cs.stanford.edu/people/chrisrmre/papers/prob_materialized_views_TR.pdf).

## References IV



Suciu, D., Olteanu, D., Ré, C., and Koch, C. (2011).

***Probabilistic Databases.***

Morgan & Claypool.

Unavailable online.